arXiv:1611.03319v1 [math.AP] 10 Nov 2016

LOGARITHMIC NLS EQUATION ON STAR GRAPHS: EXISTENCE AND STABILITY OF STANDING WAVES

Alex Hernandez Ardila
Department of Mathematics, IME-USP, Universidade de São Paulo
Cidade Universitária, CEP 05508-090, São Paulo, SP, Brazil

Abstract. In this paper we consider the logarithmic Schrödinger equation on a star graph. By using a compactness method, we construct a unique global solution of the associated Cauchy problem in a suitable functional framework. Then we show the existence of several families of standing waves. We also prove the existence of ground states as minimizers of the action on the Nehari manifold. Finally, we show that the ground states are orbitally stable via a variational approach.

1. Introduction

Partial differential equations on graphs, or on higher-dimensional networked domains, arise naturally in many topics of physics such as optics, acoustics, condensed matter and polymer physics. Modern applications of PDEs on graphs include machine mechatronics, biology, electrical and communication networks and traffic flow. We refer to [25] for further information and bibliography. Earlier, the linear Schrödinger equation on a metric graph was subject of extensive research due to its applications in quantum chemistry, nanotechnologies and mesoscopic physics (see [11, 12] and references therein). Studies of the nonlinear Schrödinger equation on graphs have started appearing recently. In particular, existence and stability of standing waves for nonlinear Schrödinguer equation on a star graph with a power nonlinearity $|u|^{p-1}u$ have been studied extensively. Among such works, let us mention [1, 2, 3, 4, 5, 6, 7, 8, 10, 17, 18, 19, 23, 26].

To set the stage, let Γ be a star graph consisting of a central vertex c and N edges (half-lines) attached to it. For simplicity, each edge will be identified with the positive semi-axis $J_e = (0, +\infty)$, where zero corresponds to the central vertex c. Thus we see that we can identify Γ with the (disjoint) union of the intervals $J_e = (0, +\infty)$, $e = 1, \ldots, N$, augmented by the central vertex. The following notation will be convenient: given a function on the graph $u : \Gamma \longrightarrow \mathbb{C}^N$, its restriction to the semi-axis J_e is denoted with u_e. Moreover, we will denote with $u_e(0)$ the limit of $u_e(x)$ as $x \to 0$ in J_e. For a function u to be continuous on Γ, in addition to the continuity of every restriction u_e on J_e, one has to require continuity at the central vertex; that is, $u_e(0) = u_r(0)$ for $e, r = 1, \ldots, N$. Given a function $F : \mathbb{C} \to \mathbb{R}$, if the integrand does not require more precision, we will

2010 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* 76B25, 35Q51, 35Q55, 35J60, 37K40, 34B37.
Key words and phrases. Logarithmic Schrödinger equation; star graphs; stability.
The author was supported by CNPq-Brazil.

abbreviate

$$\sum_{e=1}^{N}\int_{\mathbb{R}^+} F(u_e(x))dx = \int_\Gamma F(u)dx.$$

Associated to a star graph Γ, we have a natural Hilbert space $L^2(\Gamma)$, which is defined as the orthogonal direct sum of spaces $L^2(\mathbb{R}^+)$. The space $L^2(\Gamma)$ consists of functions that are in $L^2(\mathbb{R}^+)$ for every edge of Γ, equipped with the norm given by

$$\|u\|^2_{L^2(\Gamma)} = \int_\Gamma |u|^2 dx = \sum_{e=1}^{N}\int_{\mathbb{R}^+} |u_e(x)|^2 dx.$$

L^p-spaces on Γ are defined analogously. The Sobolev space $H^1(\Gamma)$ on the graph Γ consists of all continuous functions $u = (u_e)_{e=1}^N$ such that $u_e \in H^1(\mathbb{R}^+)$. The continuity condition imposed on functions from the Sobolev space $H^1(\Gamma)$ means that any function u from this space assumes the same value at the central vertex, and thus $u(0)$ is uniquely defined. We say that u is symmetric if u_e does not depends on e. For a general reference on analytical properties of functions defined over a graph, see the classical monograph [12].

This paper is devoted to the analysis of existence and stability of the ground states for the logarithmic Schrödinger equation on a star graph Γ with a attractive delta condition in the vertex,

$$i\partial_t u + \Delta_\gamma u + u\,\mathrm{Log}\,|u|^2 = 0, \tag{1.1}$$

where u is a complex-valued function of $(x,t) \in \Gamma \times \mathbb{R}$. Here, the nonlinear term in (1.1) is defined componentwise: namely, $\left(u\,\mathrm{Log}|u|^2\right)_i = u_i\,\mathrm{Log}|u_i|^2$ for $i = 1,\ldots,N$. For $\gamma \in \mathbb{R}$, the Laplace operator $-\Delta_\gamma$ on the graph Γ which appear in (1.1) admit a precise interpretation as selfadjoint operator on $L^2(\Gamma)$ associated with the quadratic form $\mathfrak{F}_\gamma$ (see [22]),

$$\mathfrak{F}_\gamma[u,v] = \sum_{i=1}^{N} \Re\left\{\int_{\mathbb{R}^+} \partial_x u_i \partial_x \overline{v}_i dx\right\} - \gamma \Re\left\{\overline{u}_1(0)v_1(0)\right\},$$

defined on the domain $\mathrm{dom}(\mathfrak{F}_\gamma) = H^1(\Gamma)$. To be more specific, it is clear that this form is bounded from below and closed on $H^1(\Gamma)$. Then the self-adjoint operator on $L^2(\Gamma)$ associated with $\mathfrak{F}_\gamma$ is given by

$$-\left(\Delta_\gamma u\right)_i = -\partial_x^2 u_i \quad \text{for } i = 1,\ldots,N,$$

on the domain

$$\mathrm{dom}(-\Delta_\gamma) = \left\{u \in H^1(\Gamma) : u_i \in H^2(\mathbb{R}^+), \quad \sum_{i=1}^{N} \partial_x u_i(0) = -\gamma u_1(0)\right\}. \tag{1.2}$$

When $\gamma = 0$, the condition at the vertex (1.2) is usually referred to as the Kirchhoff's boundary condition. Notice that $-\Delta_\gamma$ generalizes to the graph the well know Schrödinger operator with delta potential of strength γ on the line. The following spectral properties of $-\Delta_\gamma$ are known: $\sigma_{\mathrm{ess}}(-\Delta_\gamma) = [0,\infty)$; if $\gamma \leq 0$, then $\sigma_{\mathrm{p}}(-\Delta_\gamma) = \emptyset$; if $\gamma > 0$, then $\sigma_{\mathrm{p}}(-\Delta_\gamma) = \left\{-\gamma^2/N^2\right\}$.

The nonlinear Schrödinger equation (1.1) is formally associated with the energy functional E define by

$$E(u) = \frac{1}{2}\mathfrak{F}_\gamma[u] - \frac{1}{2}\int_\Gamma |u|^2 \,\mathrm{Log}\,|u|^2\, dx.$$

Unfortunately, due to the singularity of the logarithm at the origin, the functional fails to be finite as well of class C^1 on $\mathrm{dom}(\mathfrak{F}_\gamma) = H^1(\Gamma)$. Due to this loss of smoothness, it is convenient to work in a suitable Banach space endowed with a Luxemburg type norm in order to make functional E well defined and C^1 smooth.

Indeed, we will work with functions in the the Banach space (see Section 2)

$$W(\Gamma) = \left\{u \in H^1(\Gamma) : |u_e|^2 \operatorname{Log}|u_e|^2 \in L^1(\mathbb{R}^+) \text{ for } e = 1, \ldots, N\right\}. \tag{1.3}$$

Then, we have that the energy functional E is well-defined and of class C^1 on $W(\Gamma)$.

In [9], when $\Gamma = \mathbb{R}$, by considering the line as a two-edge star graph, it is proved that the Cauchy problem for (1.1) is globally well-posed in $W(\mathbb{R})$. Moreover, it was shown in [9] that there exists a unique positive (up to a phase) ground state and it is orbitally stable in the case where $\gamma > 0$.

The main aim of this paper is to extend the existence and stability results of [9] by considering a N-edge star graph with $N \geq 2$. An analogous analysis is given for the standard NLS equation on a star graph in [4], and both are inspired by [19].

The next theorem gives a result on the existence of weak solutions to (1.1) in the energy space $W(\Gamma)$. The proof is contained in Section 3.

Proposition 1.1. *For any $u_0 \in W(\Gamma)$, there is a unique maximal solution $u \in C(\mathbb{R}, W(\Gamma)) \cap C^1(\mathbb{R}, W'(\Gamma))$ of (1.1) such that $u(0) = u_0$ and $\sup_{t\in\mathbb{R}} \|u(t)\|_{W(\Gamma)} < \infty$. Furthermore, the conservation of energy and charge hold; that is,*

$$E(u(t)) = E(u_0) \quad \text{and} \quad \|u(t)\|^2_{L^2(\Gamma)} = \|u_0\|^2_{L^2(\Gamma)} \quad \text{for all } t \in \mathbb{R}.$$

In the previous proposition, $W'(\Gamma)$ is the dual space of $W(\Gamma)$. A standing wave solution of (1.1) is a solution of the form $u(x,t) = e^{i\omega t}\varphi(x)$ where $\omega \in \mathbb{R}$ and $\varphi \in W(\Gamma) \setminus \{0\}$ is a real valued function which has to solve the following stationary problem

$$-\Delta_\gamma \varphi + \omega\varphi - \varphi \operatorname{Log}|\varphi|^2 = 0 \quad \text{in} \quad W'(\Gamma). \tag{1.4}$$

An explicit description of all the solutions of the stationary problem (1.4) is obtain for every value of $\gamma > 0$. In fact, the stationary solutions to (1.4) are given in the following result. We denote by $[s]$ the integer part of s.

Theorem 1.2. *Let $N \geq 2$, $\gamma > 0$ and $\omega \in \mathbb{R}$. Then, the stationary problem (1.4) has $[(N-1)/2]$ positive solutions $\phi^\kappa_{\omega,\gamma}$, with $\kappa = 0, \ldots, [(N-1)/2]$, given, up to permutations of edges, by*

$$(\phi^\kappa_{\omega,\gamma})_i(x) = \begin{cases} e^{\frac{\omega+1}{2}} e^{-\frac{1}{2}(x-h_\kappa)^2}, & i = 1, \ldots, \kappa \\ e^{\frac{\omega+1}{2}} e^{-\frac{1}{2}(x+h_\kappa)^2}, & i = \kappa+1, \ldots, N; \end{cases} \tag{1.5}$$

where $h_\kappa = \gamma/(N-2\kappa)$.

The proof of this result is contained in Section 4. We remark that the solution is unique only in the case $N = 2$, that is, in the case of a two-edge star graph.

The next step in the study of stationary solutions to (1.4) is to understand their stability. To this aim, and when possible, we given a variational characterization of the stationary solutions.

For $\gamma > 0$ and $\omega \in \mathbb{R}$, let us define the following functionals of class C^1 on $W(\Gamma)$:

$$S_{\omega,\gamma}(u) = \frac{1}{2}\mathfrak{F}_\gamma[u] + \frac{\omega+1}{2}\|u\|^2_{L^2(\Gamma)} - \frac{1}{2}\int_\Gamma |u|^2 \operatorname{Log}|u|^2 \, dx,$$

$$I_{\omega,\gamma}(u) = \mathfrak{F}_\gamma[u] + \omega\,\|u\|^2_{L^2(\Gamma)} - \int_\Gamma |u|^2 \operatorname{Log}|u|^2 \, dx.$$

Note that (1.4) is equivalent to $S'_{\omega,\gamma}(\varphi) = 0$, and $I_{\omega,\gamma}(u) = \langle S'_{\omega,\gamma}(u), u\rangle$ is the so-called Nehari functional. Moreover, we consider the minimization problem

$$\begin{aligned} d_\gamma(\omega) &= \inf\{S_{\omega,\gamma}(u) : u \in W(\Gamma)\setminus\{0\}, I_{\omega,\gamma}(u) = 0\} \\ &= \frac{1}{2}\inf\left\{\|u\|^2_{L^2(\Gamma)} : u \in W(\Gamma)\setminus\{0\}, I_{\omega,\gamma}(u) = 0\right\}, \end{aligned} \tag{1.6}$$

and define the set of ground states by

$$\mathcal{G}_{\omega,\gamma} = \left\{\varphi \in W(\Gamma)\setminus\{0\} : S_{\omega,\gamma}(\varphi) = d_\gamma(\omega),\ I_{\omega,\gamma}(\varphi) = 0\right\}.$$

Remark 1.3. *The set $\left\{u \in W(\Gamma)\setminus\{0\}, I_{\omega,\gamma}(u) = 0\right\}$ is called the Nehari manifold. Since $I_{\omega,\gamma}(u) = \langle S'_{\omega,\gamma}(u), u\rangle$, it clearly contains all the nontrivial critical points of $S_{\omega,\gamma}$. It is standard to show that if $u \in \mathcal{G}_{\omega,\gamma}$, then u is a solution to the stationary equation* (1.4).

Before proceeding to our main results, we recall the definition of the error function

$$\operatorname{erf}(s) = \frac{2}{\sqrt{\pi}}\int_0^s e^{-t^2}dt \quad \text{for all } s \in \mathbb{R}. \tag{1.7}$$

We remark that the error function is strictly monotonically increasing on $\mathbb{R}$. We define the inverse error function as follows. For a positive r, if $r = \operatorname{erf}(s)$ the inverse function $s = \operatorname{erf}^{-1}(r)$. The domain r for the inverse function is the interval $[0, 1]$, and the range is $[0, +\infty)$.

The existence of minimizers for (1.6) is obtained through variational argument. We will show the following theorem in Section 6.

Theorem 1.4. *Let $N \geq 2$, $\omega \in \mathbb{R}$ and $\gamma^*(N) := N\left(\operatorname{erf}^{-1}(1 - 2/N)\right)$. Then, there exists a minimizer of $d_\gamma(\omega)$ for any $\gamma > \gamma^*(N)$. Moreover, the set of ground states is given by $\mathcal{G}_{\omega,\gamma} = \left\{e^{i\theta}\phi^0_{\omega,\gamma} : \theta \in \mathbb{R}\right\}$, where $\phi^0_{\omega,\gamma}$ is defined by* (1.5).

So $S_{\omega,\gamma}$ admits a constrain minimum on the Nehari manifold for every $\omega \in \mathbb{R}$ if the strength γ of the δ-interaction at the vertex is sufficiently strong. Notice that, for $N \geq 2$, the function $N \to \gamma^*(N)$ is strictly monotonically increasing, $\gamma^*(2) = 0$ and $\gamma^*(N) \to +\infty$ as $N \to +\infty$. In particular, in the case of a two-edge star graph we have the following result which was proved in [9]: there exists a unique (up to a phase) ground state for all $\gamma > \gamma^*(2) = 0$. On the other hand, when $N \geq 3$ and $0 < \gamma \leq \gamma^*(N)$, it is conjecture that the action $S_{\omega,\gamma}$ has a local constrained minimum that is larger than the infimun, but we not have a proof of this fact.

Now we come to the stability of the ground state. The basic symmetry associated to equation (1.1) is the phase-invariance. Thus, the definition of stability takes into account only this type of symmetry and is formulated as follows.

Definition 1.5. *We say that a standing wave solution $u(x,t) = e^{i\omega t}\phi(x)$ of* (1.1) *is orbitally stable in $W(\Gamma)$ if for any $\epsilon > 0$ there exist $\eta > 0$ such that if $u_0 \in W(\Gamma)$ and $\|u_0 - \varphi\|_{W(\Gamma)} < \eta$, then the solution $u(t)$ of* (1.1) *with $u(0) = u_0$ exist for all $t \in \mathbb{R}$ and satisfies*

$$\sup_{t\in\mathbb{R}}\inf_{\theta\in\mathbb{R}}\|u(t) - e^{i\theta}\phi\|_{W(\Gamma)} < \epsilon.$$

Otherwise, the standing wave $e^{i\omega t}\phi(x)$ is said to be unstable in $W(\Gamma)$.

Making use of the arguments in [9, 19], from the compactness of the minimizing sequences (see Lemma 7.1 below) and uniqueness of the ground states up to phase shown in Theorem 1.4, the orbital stability of the ground states follows.

Theorem 1.6. *Let $N \geq 2$, $\omega \in \mathbb{R}$ and $\gamma > \gamma^*(N)$. Then the standing wave $e^{i\omega t}\phi^0_{\omega,\gamma}$ is orbitally stable in $W(\Gamma)$.*

We remark that nothing is known about orbital stability or instability of excited states, which exist for every $N \geq 3$ and $\omega \in \mathbb{R}$. It is conjecture that excited states are unstable, but we not have a proof of this fact.

The rest of the paper is organized as follows. In Section 2, we analyse the structure of the energy space $W(\Gamma)$. Moreover, we recall several known results, which will be needed later. In Section 3, we give an idea of the proof of Proposition 1.1. In Section 4, an explicit construction of all stationary states of problem is obtain. In Section 5 we compute explicitly the infimum $d_0(\omega)$ (Kirchhoff's case), which will be a key ingredient for our analysis to follow. In Section 6 we prove, by variational techniques, the existence of a minimizer of $d_\gamma(\omega)$ for any $\gamma > \gamma^*(N)$. We also explicitly compute the ground states (Theorem 1.4). The Section 7 is devoted to the proof of Theorem 1.6. In the Appendix we show that the energy functional E is of class C^1 on $W(\Gamma)$.

Notation: The space $L^2(\mathbb{R}^+, \mathbb{C})$ will be denoted by $L^2(\mathbb{R}^+)$ and its norm by $\|\cdot\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^+)}$. This space will be endowed with the real scalar product

$$(u, v) = \Re \int_{\mathbb{R}^+} u\overline{v}\, dx \quad \text{for} \quad u, v \in L^2\left(\mathbb{R}^+\right).$$

The space $H^1(\mathbb{R}^+, \mathbb{C})$ will be denoted by $H^1(\mathbb{R}^+)$, its norm by $\|\cdot\|_{H^1(\mathbb{R}^+)}$. We denote by $C_0^\infty\left(\mathbb{R}^+\right)$ the set of C^∞ functions from $\mathbb{R}^+$ to $\mathbb{C}$ with compact support. $\langle\cdot,\cdot\rangle$ is the duality pairing between E' and E, where E is a Hilbert (more generally, Banach space) and E' is its dual. Throughout this paper, the letter C will denote positive constants.

2. Preliminaries

In this section we analyse the structure of the energy space $W(\Gamma)$. We also recall several known results on the logarithmic Schrödinger equation and the basic properties of the symmetric rearrangements on a star graph.

2.1. The energy space.

First we need to introduce some notation. Define

$$F(z) = |z|^2 \operatorname{Log}|z|^2 \quad \text{for every } z \in \mathbb{C},$$

and as in [14], we define the functions A, B on $[0, \infty)$ by

$$A(s) = \begin{cases} -s^2 \operatorname{Log}(s^2), & \text{if } 0 \leq s \leq e^{-3}; \\ 3s^2 + 4e^{-3}s - e^{-6}, & \text{if } s \geq e^{-3}; \end{cases} \qquad B(s) = F(s) + A(s). \tag{2.1}$$

Furthermore, let be functions a, b, defined by

$$a(z) = \frac{z}{|z|^2}\, A(|z|) \quad \text{and} \quad b(z) = \frac{z}{|z|^2}\, B(|z|) \quad \text{for } z \in \mathbb{C},\ z \neq 0. \tag{2.2}$$

Notice that we have $b(z) - a(z) = z \operatorname{Log}|z|^2$. It follows that A is a nonnegative convex and increasing function, and $A \in C^1\left([0, +\infty)\right) \cap C^2\left((0, +\infty)\right)$. The Orlicz space $L^A(\mathbb{R}^+)$ corresponding to A is defined by

$$L^A(\mathbb{R}^+) = \left\{u \in L^1_{\text{loc}}(\mathbb{R}^+) : A(|u|) \in L^1(\mathbb{R}^+)\right\},$$

equipped with the Luxemburg norm

$$\|u\|_{L^A(\mathbb{R}^+)} = \inf\left\{k > 0 : \int_{\mathbb{R}^+} A\left(k^{-1}|u(x)|\right) dx \leq 1\right\}.$$

Here as usual $L^1_{\text{loc}}(\mathbb{R}^+)$ is the space of all locally Lebesgue integrable functions.

It is proved in [14, Lemma 2.1] that A is a Young-function which is Δ_2-regular and $\left(L^A(\mathbb{R}^+), \|\cdot\|_{L^A(\mathbb{R}^+)}\right)$ is a separable reflexive Banach space. Let us denote by $W(\mathbb{R}^+)$ the reflexive Banach space $W(\mathbb{R}^+) = H^1(\mathbb{R}^+) \cap L^A(\mathbb{R}^+)$ equipped with usual norm $\|\cdot\|_{W(\mathbb{R}^+)}$ defined by $\|u\|_{W(\mathbb{R}^+)} = \|u\|_{H^1(\mathbb{R}^+)} + \|u\|_{L^A(\mathbb{R}^+)}$.

Finally, we consider the reflexive Banach space

$$W(\Gamma) = \left\{u \in H^1(\Gamma) : u_e \in W(\mathbb{R}^+) \text{ for } e = 1, \ldots, N\right\},$$

equipped with norm

$$\|u\|^2_{W(\Gamma)} = \sum_{i=1}^{N} \|u_i\|^2_{W(\mathbb{R}^+)}.$$

It is easy to see that one has the following chain of continuous embedding $W(\Gamma) \hookrightarrow L^2(\Gamma) \hookrightarrow W'(\Gamma)$. Moreover, we have that

$$W(\Gamma) = \left\{u \in H^1(\Gamma) : |u_e|^2 \operatorname{Log}|u_e|^2 \in L^1(\mathbb{R}^+) \text{ for } e = 1, \ldots, N\right\}. \tag{2.3}$$

The proof of (2.3) follows immediately from analogous equality for functions of the real half line: namely, $W(\mathbb{R}^+) = \left\{u \in H^1(\mathbb{R}^+) : |u|^2 \operatorname{Log}|u|^2 \in L^1(\mathbb{R}^+)\right\}$. For the proof of the last statement we refer to Cazenave [14, Proposition 2.2].

The following remark will be useful later on.

Remark 2.1. *For every $\epsilon > 0$, there exist $C_\epsilon > 0$ such that*

$$|B(z) - B(w)| \leq C_\epsilon \left(|z|^{1+\epsilon} + |w|^{1-\epsilon}\right)|z - w| \quad \text{for all } z,\, w \in \mathbb{C}.$$

Integrating the above inequality on $\mathbb{R}^+$, applying Hölder's and Sobolev's inequalities and summing on each edge of graph Γ, we deduce that for all u, $v \in H^1(\Gamma)$,

$$\int_\Gamma |B(|u|) - B(|v|)|\, dx \leq C\left(1 + \|u\|^2_{H^1(\Gamma)} + \|v\|^2_{H^1(\Gamma)}\right)\|u - v\|_{L^2(\Gamma)}. \tag{2.4}$$

We list some properties of the Orlicz space $L^A(\mathbb{R}^+)$, which will be needed later. For a proof of such statements we refer to [14, Lemma 2.1].

Proposition 2.2. *Let $\{u_m\}$ be a sequence in $L^A(\mathbb{R}^+)$, the following facts hold:*
i) If $u_m \to u$ in $L^A(\mathbb{R}^+)$, then $A(|u_m|) \to A(|u|)$ in $L^1(\mathbb{R}^+)$ as $n \to \infty$.
ii) Let $u \in L^A(\mathbb{R}^+)$. If $u_m(x) \to u(x)$ a.e. $x \in \mathbb{R}^+$ and if

$$\lim_{n\to\infty} \int_{\mathbb{R}^+} A\left(|u_m(x)|\right) dx = \int_{\mathbb{R}^+} A\left(|u(x)|\right) dx,$$

then $u_m \to u$ in $L^A(\mathbb{R}^+)$ as $n \to \infty$.
iii) For any $u \in L^A(\mathbb{R}^+)$, we have

$$\min\left\{\|u\|_{L^A(\mathbb{R}^+)}, \|u\|^2_{L^A(\mathbb{R}^+)}\right\} \leq \int_{\mathbb{R}^+} A\left(|u(x)|\right) dx \leq \max\left\{\|u\|_{L^A(\mathbb{R}^+)}, \|u\|^2_{L^A(\mathbb{R}^+)}\right\}. \tag{2.5}$$

2.2. **Variational characterization of the ground state on the half-line and on the line.** We recall a well-known result on the logarithmic Schrödinger equation on the line: namely, the set of solutions of the stationary problem (see [13, Appendix D])

$$-\partial_x^2\varphi+\omega\varphi-\varphi\operatorname{Log}|\varphi|^2=0,\qquad x\in\mathbb{R},\ \omega\in\mathbb{R},\ \varphi\in W(\mathbb{R}),$$

is given by $\left\{e^{i\theta}\phi_\omega(\cdot-y);\theta\in\mathbb{R},y\in\mathbb{R}\right\}$, where

$$\phi_\omega(x)=e^{\frac{\omega+1}{2}}e^{-\frac{1}{2}x^2}. \tag{2.6}$$

In addition, the soliton ϕ_ω is the only minimizer (modulo translation and phase) of the problem

$$d_{\mathbb{R}}(\omega)=\inf\left\{S_{\mathbb{R}}(u,\omega):\ u\in W(\mathbb{R})\setminus\{0\},I_{\mathbb{R}}(u,\omega)=0\right\}, \tag{2.7}$$

where

$$S_{\mathbb{R}}(u,\omega)=\frac{1}{2}\|\partial_x u\|^2_{L^2(\mathbb{R})}+\frac{\omega+1}{2}\|u\|^2_{L^2(\mathbb{R})}-\frac{1}{2}\int_{\mathbb{R}}|u|^2\operatorname{Log}|u|^2\,dx,$$

$$I_{\mathbb{R}}(u,\omega)=\|\partial_x u\|^2_{L^2(\mathbb{R})}+\omega\|u\|^2_{L^2(\mathbb{R})}-\int_{\mathbb{R}}|u|^2\operatorname{Log}|u|^2\,dx.$$

Moreover $d_{\mathbb{R}}(\omega)=e^{\omega+1}\sqrt{\pi}/2$. For the proof of this result we refer to Cazenave and Lions [16, Remark II.3].

This implies that half soliton $\chi_+\phi_\omega$ is the solution of the problem

$$d_{\mathbb{R}^+}(\omega)=\inf\left\{S_{\mathbb{R}^+}(u,\omega):\ u\in W(\mathbb{R}^+)\setminus\{0\},I_{\mathbb{R}^+}(u,\omega)=0\right\}, \tag{2.8}$$

where

$$S_{\mathbb{R}^+}(u,\omega)=\frac{1}{2}\|\partial_x u\|^2_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^+)}+\frac{\omega+1}{2}\|u\|^2_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^+)}-\frac{1}{2}\int_{\mathbb{R}^+}|u|^2\operatorname{Log}|u|^2\,dx,$$

$$I_{\mathbb{R}^+}(u,\omega)=\|\partial_x u\|^2_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^+)}+\omega\|u\|^2_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^+)}-\int_{\mathbb{R}^+}|u|^2\operatorname{Log}|u|^2\,dx.$$

Moreover, we have that $d_{\mathbb{R}^+}(\omega)=d_{\mathbb{R}}(\omega)/2$. To prove the last statement, assume that $u\in W(\mathbb{R}^+)\setminus\{0\}$ is such that $I_{\mathbb{R}^+}(u,\omega)=0$ and

$$S_{\mathbb{R}^+}(u,\omega)\le S_{\mathbb{R}^+}(\chi_+\phi_\omega,\omega).$$

Then, denoted by $\hat{u}$ the even extension of u, we see that $I_{\mathbb{R}}(\hat{u},\omega)=0$ and

$$S_{\mathbb{R}}(\hat{u},\omega)\le S_{\mathbb{R}}(\phi_\omega,\omega).$$

Thus, since $\hat{u}$ is even and ϕ_ω is the only minimizer (modulo translation and phase) of the problem (2.7), we infer that $\hat{u}$ must be equal to ϕ_ω up a phase factor.

2.3. **Symmetric rearrangements.** In this subsection we recall the basic properties of symmetric rearrangements u^* of a measurable function $u:\Gamma\to\mathbb{C}^N$, where Γ is a star graph.

Given $u:\Gamma\to\mathbb{C}^N$, we introduce $\lambda_u(s)$ and $\varsigma_u(s)$ defined by

$$\lambda_u(s)=|\{|u|\ge s\}|\quad\text{and}\quad\varsigma_u(s)=\sup\left\{s|\lambda_u(s)>Nt\right\},$$

and as in [5], we define the symmetric rearrangement u^* of u by $u^*=(u_1^*,\dots,u_N^*)$ with

$$u_1^*(x)=\dots=u_N^*(x)=\varsigma_u(x).$$

The basic properties of the function u^* are given in the following proposition.

Proposition 2.3. *Let $u \in H^1(\Gamma)$. Then the following assertions hold.*
(i) *The symmetric rearrangement u^* is positive, symmetric and non increasing. Moreover, $u^* \in H^1(\Gamma)$, $\|u^*\|_{L^p(\Gamma)} = \|u\|_{L^p(\Gamma)}$ and $\|\partial_x u^*\|_{L^2(\Gamma)} \leq (N/2)\,\|\partial_x u\|_{L^2(\Gamma)}$.*
(ii) *If $u \in W(\Gamma)$, then $u^* \in W(\Gamma)$ and*

$$\int_\Gamma |u^*|^2 \operatorname{Log}|u^*|^2\, dx = \int_\Gamma |u|^2 \operatorname{Log}|u|^2\, dx.$$

Proof. The proof of (i) is contained in Proposition A.1 and Theorem 6 of [5]. Now we prove (ii). We first recall that, by (2.1), $|z|^2 \operatorname{Log}|z|^2 = A(|z|) - B(|z|)$ for every $z \in \mathbb{C}$. Moreover, since $u \in W(\Gamma)$, it follows that $A(|u_e|) \in L^1(\mathbb{R}^+)$ and $B(|u_e|) \in L^1(\mathbb{R}^+)$ for $e = 1, \ldots, N$. Here, u_e is the restriction of u on the edge J_e. As it was observed in [5, Proposition A.1], the symmetric rearrangement is equimeasurable, that is,

$$|\{|u| \geq s\}| = |\{u^* \geq s\}|\,. \tag{2.9}$$

Since $A \in C^1(\overline{\mathbb{R}}^+)$ is an increasing function with $A(0) = 0$, it is follows from Layer cake representation [24, Theorem 1.13] and (2.9) that

$$\begin{aligned}\int_\Gamma A(|u|)dx &= \sum_{i=1}^N \int_{\mathbb{R}^+} A(|u_i(x)|)dx = \int_0^{+\infty} A'(s)\,|\{|u| \geq s\}|\,ds \\ &= \int_0^{+\infty} A'(s)\,|\{u^* \geq s\}|\,ds = \sum_{i=1}^N \int_{\mathbb{R}^+} A(|u_i^*(x)|)dx \\ &= \int_\Gamma A(|u^*|)dx. \end{aligned} \tag{2.10}$$

Similarly, since $B \in C^1(\overline{\mathbb{R}}^+)$ is an increasing function with $B(0) = 0$, by applying the same argument as above we see that

$$\int_\Gamma B(|u|)dx = \sum_{i=1}^N \int_{\mathbb{R}^+} B(|u_i(x)|)dx = \sum_{i=1}^N \int_{\mathbb{R}^+} B(|u_i^*(x)|)dx = \int_\Gamma B(|u^*|)dx. \tag{2.11}$$

In particular, $A(|u_e^*|) \in L^1(\mathbb{R}^+)$ and $B(|u_e^*|) \in L^1(\mathbb{R}^+)$ for $e = 1, \ldots, N$. Therefore, $u^* \in W(\Gamma)$ and the result follows from (2.10) and (2.11). □

3. The Cauchy problem

In this section we sketch the proof of the global well-posedness of the Cauchy Problem for (1.1) in the energy space $W(\Gamma)$. The proof of Proposition 1.1 is an adaptation of the proof of [15, Theorem 9.3.4] (see also [9]). So, we will approximate the logarithmic nonlinearity by a smooth nonlinearity, and as a consequence we construct a sequence of global solutions of the regularized Cauchy problem in $C(\mathbb{R}, H^1(\Gamma)) \cap C^1(\mathbb{R}, H^{-1}(\Gamma))$, then we pass to the limit using standard compactness results, extract a subsequence which converges to the solution of the limiting equation (1.1). Finally, by using special properties of the logarithmic nonlinearity we establish uniqueness of the global solution.

Before outlining the main ideas of the proof of Proposition 1.1, we first need to introduce some notation. Let Γ_k be a compact star graph consisting of a central vertex c and N edges attached to it, where each edge e of Γ_k is associated with a open bounded interval $J_e = (0, k)$ of length $k > 0$ and zero corresponds to

the central vertex c. Let us recall that the Sobolev space $H^1(\Gamma_k)$ consists of all continuous functions $u = (u_e)_{e=1}^N$ such that $u_e \in H^1(0,k)$ for $e = 1, \ldots, N$. We denote with $C_0(\Gamma_k)$ the space of all complex-valued, continuous functions on the graph Γ_k, which tend to zero near all of the outer vertices. Furthermore, the Sobolev space $H_0^1(\Gamma_k)$ on the graph Γ_k consists of all functions $f \in C_0(\Gamma_k)$ such that $f_e \in H^1(0,k)$ for every $e = 1, \ldots, N$. As usual, it follows that the inclusion map $H_0^1(\Gamma_k) \hookrightarrow H^1(\Gamma)$ is continuous. The dual space of $H_0^1(\Gamma_k)$ will be denoted by $H^{-1}(\Gamma_k)$.

First we regularize the logarithmic nonlinearity near the origin. For $z \in \mathbb{C}$ and $m \in \mathbb{N}$, we define the functions a_m and b_m by

$$a_m(z) = \begin{cases} a(z), & \text{if } |z| \geq \frac{1}{m}; \\ m\, z\, a(\frac{1}{m}), & \text{if } |z| \leq \frac{1}{m}; \end{cases} \quad \text{and} \quad b_m(z) = \begin{cases} b(z), & \text{if } |z| \leq m; \\ \frac{z}{m}\, b(m), & \text{if } |z| \geq m, \end{cases}$$

where a and b were defined in (2.2). For any fixed $m \in \mathbb{N}$, we define a family of regularized nonlinearities in the form $g_m(z) = b_m(z) - a_m(z)$, for every $z \in \mathbb{C}$.

In order to construct a solution of (1.1), we solve first, for $m \in \mathbb{N}$, the regularized Cauchy problem

$$i\partial_t u^m + \Delta_\gamma u^m + g_m(u^m) = 0. \tag{3.1}$$

Proposition 3.1. *For any $u_0 \in H^1(\Gamma)$, there is a unique solution $u \in C(\mathbb{R}, H^1(\Gamma)) \cap C^1(\mathbb{R}, H^{-1}(\Gamma))$ of (3.1) such that $u(0) = u_0$. Furthermore, the conservation of energy and charge hold; that is,*

$$\mathcal{E}_m(u^m(t)) = \mathcal{E}_m(u_0) \quad \textit{and} \quad \|u^m(t)\|^2_{L^2(\Gamma)} = \|u_0\|^2_{L^2(\Gamma)} \quad \textit{for all } t \in \mathbb{R},$$

where

$$\mathcal{E}_m(u) = \frac{1}{2}\mathfrak{F}_\gamma[u] - \frac{1}{2}\int_\Gamma G_m(u)dx, \quad G_m(z) = \int_0^{|z|} g_m(s)ds.$$

Proof. Since g_m is globally Lipschitz continuous $\mathbb{C} \to \mathbb{C}$, the global well-posedness in $H^1(\Gamma)$ and the conservation laws are well known, and follow from the standard fixed point argument and Gronwall lemma; see [5] for an exhaustive treatment in the case of NLS equation with a power nonlinearity $|u|^{p-1}u$. □

For the proof of Proposition 1.1, we will use the following lemma.

Lemma 3.2. *Let $\{u^m\}_{m\in\mathbb{N}}$ be a bounded sequence in $L^\infty(\mathbb{R}, H^1(\Gamma))$. If $(u|_{\Gamma_k})_{m\in\mathbb{N}}$ is a bounded sequence of $W^{1,\infty}(\mathbb{R}, H^{-1}(\Gamma_k))$ for $k \in \mathbb{N}$, then there exists a subsequence, which we still denote by $\{u^m\}_{m\in\mathbb{N}}$, and there exist $u \in L^\infty(\mathbb{R}, H^1(\Gamma))$ for every $k \in \mathbb{N}$, such that the following properties hold:*
(i) $u|_{\Gamma_k} \in W^{1,\infty}(\mathbb{R}, H^{-1}(\Gamma_k))$ *for every $k \in \mathbb{N}$.*
(ii) $u^m(t) \rightharpoonup u(t)$ *in $H^1(\Gamma)$ as $m \to \infty$ for every $t \in \mathbb{R}$.*
(iii) *For every $t \in \mathbb{R}$ there exists a subsequence m_j such that $u_e^{m_j}(x,t) \to u_e(x,t)$ as $j \to \infty$, for a.e. $x \in \mathbb{R}^+$ and $e = 1, \ldots, N$.*
(iv) $u_e^m(x,t) \to u_e(x,t)$ *as $m \to \infty$, for a.e. $(x,t) \in \mathbb{R}^+ \times \mathbb{R}$ and $e = 1, \ldots, N$.*

Proof. We just sketch the proof since it follows the same ideas as the proof of Lemma 9.3.6 in [15]. In fact, fix $k \in \mathbb{N}$. Note that $\left\{u^m|_{\Gamma_k}\right\}_{m\in\mathbb{N}}$ is a bounded sequence of $L^\infty((-k,k), H^1(\Gamma_k)) \cap W^{1,\infty}((-k,k), H^{-1}(\Gamma_k))$. Therefore, by [15, Proposition 1.1.2] there exists a subsequence, which we still denote by $\{u^m\}_{m\in\mathbb{N}}$, and there exist $u \in L^\infty((-k,k), H^1(\Gamma_k))$ such that $u^m(t)|_{\Gamma_k} \rightharpoonup u(t)$ in $H^1(\Gamma_k)$ for all $t \in (-k,k)$. Letting $k \to +\infty$ and considering diagonal sequence, we see

that there exist $u \in L^\infty(\mathbb{R}, H^1(\Gamma))$ such that $u^m(t) \rightharpoonup u(t)$ in $H^1(\Gamma)$ for every $t \in \mathbb{R}$. Thus, $u \in L^\infty(\mathbb{R}, H^1(\Gamma))$, and (ii) follows. In addition, by [15, Remark 1.3.13(ii)] and (ii), we have that $u \in W^{1,\infty}(\mathbb{R}, H^{-1}(\Gamma_k))$ for every $k \in \mathbb{N}$. Hence (i) is established. The remainder of the proof follows similarly to the remainder of the proof of [15, Lemma 9.3.6]. □

Proof of Proposition 1.1. Our proof follows the ideas of Cazenave [15, Theorem 9.3.4]. Applying Proposition 3.1, we see that for every $m \in \mathbb{N}$ there exist a unique global solution $u^m \in C(\mathbb{R}, H^1(\Gamma)) \cap C^1(\mathbb{R}, H^{-1}(\Gamma))$ of (3.1), which satisfies

$$\mathcal{E}_m(u^m(t)) = \mathcal{E}_m(u_0) \quad \text{and} \quad \|u^m(t)\|_{L^2}^2 = \|u_0\|_{L^2}^2 \quad \text{for all } t \in \mathbb{R}, \tag{3.2}$$

where

$$\mathcal{E}_m(u) = \frac{1}{2}\mathfrak{F}_\gamma[u] + \frac{1}{2}\int_\Gamma \Phi_m(|u|)dx - \frac{1}{2}\int_\Gamma \Psi_m(|u|)dx,$$

and the functions Φ_m and Ψ_m defined by

$$\Phi_m(z) = \frac{1}{2}\int_0^{|z|} a_m(s)ds \quad \text{and} \quad \Psi_m(z) = \frac{1}{2}\int_0^{|z|} b_m(s)ds.$$

It follows from (3.2) that u^m is bounded in $L^\infty(\mathbb{R}, L^2(\Gamma))$. Moreover, we have that the sequence of approximating solutions u^m is bounded in the space $L^\infty(\mathbb{R}, H^1(\Gamma))$ (see proof of Step 2 of [15, Theorem 9.3.4]). It also follows from the NLS equation (3.1) that the sequence $\partial_t u^m|_{\Gamma_k}$ is bounded in the space $L^\infty(\mathbb{R}, H^{-1}(\Gamma_k))$. Therefore, we have that $\{u^m\}_{m\in\mathbb{N}}$ satisfies the assumptions of Lemma 3.2. Let u be the limit of u^m.

Now we show that the limiting function $u \in L^\infty(\mathbb{R}, H^1(\Gamma))$ is a weak solution of the logarithmic NLS equation (1.1). To do so, we first write a weak formulation of the NLS equation (3.1). Indeed, for any test continuous function $\psi := (\psi_e)_{e=1}^N$ with $\psi_e \in C_0^\infty([0, +\infty))$ and $\phi \in C_0^\infty(\mathbb{R})$, we have

$$-\int_{\mathbb{R}} \left[\langle i\, u^m, \psi\rangle\, \phi'(t) + \mathfrak{F}_\gamma[u^m, \psi]\phi(t)\right] dt + \int_{\mathbb{R}} \Big(\sum_{i=1}^N \int_{\mathbb{R}^+} g_m(u_i^m)\psi_i(x)\, dx\Big)\phi(t)\, dt = 0. \tag{3.3}$$

Furthermore, since $g_m(z) \to z \operatorname{Log}|z|^2$ pointwise in $z \in \mathbb{C}$ as $m \to +\infty$, we apply the properties (ii)-(iv) of Lemma (3.2) to the integral formulation (3.3) and obtain the following integral equation

$$-\int_{\mathbb{R}} \left[\langle i\, u, \psi\rangle\, \phi'(t) + \mathfrak{F}_\gamma[u, \psi]\phi(t)\right] dt + \int_{\mathbb{R}} \Big(\sum_{i=1}^N \int_{\mathbb{R}^+} u_i \operatorname{Log}|u_i|^2\, \psi_i(x)\, dx\Big)\phi(t)\, dt = 0. \tag{3.4}$$

In addition, $u(0) = u_0$ by property (ii) of Lemma 3.2. Moreover, it is easy to see that $u \in L^\infty(\mathbb{R}, W(\Gamma))$ (see proof of Step 3 of [15, Theorem 9.3.4]). Therefore, by integral equation (3.4), $u \in L^\infty(\mathbb{R}, W(\Gamma))$ is a weak solution of the logarithmic NLS equation (1.1). In particular, from Lemma 8.2 in Appendix, we deduce that $u \in W^{1,\infty}(\mathbb{R}, W'(\Gamma))$.

Now we show uniqueness of the solution in the class $L^\infty(\mathbb{R}, W(\Gamma)) \cap W^{1,\infty}(\mathbb{R}, W'(\Gamma))$. Indeed, let u and v be two solutions of (1.1) in that class. Then $u - v$ satisfies a weak formulation similar to the integral equation (3.4) for the partial differential equation

$$i\partial_t(u - v) + \Delta_\gamma(u - v) + (u \operatorname{Log}|u|^2 - v \operatorname{Log}|v|^2) = 0.$$

Multiplying this equation by $i(u-v)$ and integrating over Γ, we have

$$\frac{d}{dt}\|u(t)-v(t)\|^2_{L^2(\Gamma)} = -\Im\sum_{i=1}^{N}\int_{\mathbb{R}^+}\left(u_i\mathrm{Log}\,|u_i|^2 - v_i\mathrm{Log}\,|v_i|^2\right)(\overline{u_i}-\overline{v_i})dx.$$

Thus, from [15, Lemma 9.3.5] we obtain

$$\|u(t)-v(t)\|_{L^2(\Gamma)} \le 8\int_0^t \|u(s)-v(s)\|^2_{L^2(\Gamma)}\,ds.$$

Therefore, the uniqueness of the solution follows by Gronwall's Lemma.

We claim that the weak solution u of the logarithmic NLS equation (1.1) satisfies both conservation of charge and energy. Indeed, by weak lower semicontinuity of the $H^1(\Gamma)$-norm, Fatou's lemma and arguing in the same way as in the proof of the Step 3 of [15, Theorem 9.3.4] we deduce that

$$E(u(t)) \le E(u_0) \quad \text{and} \quad \|u(t)\|^2_{L^2(\Gamma)} = \|u_0\|^2_{L^2(\Gamma)} \quad \text{for all } t\in\mathbb{R}. \tag{3.5}$$

Now fix $t_0\in\mathbb{R}$. Let $\varphi = u(t_0)$ and let w be the solution of (1.1) with $w(0)=\varphi$. By uniqueness, we see that $w(\cdot - t_0) = u(\cdot)$ on $\mathbb{R}$. From (3.5), we deduce in particular that

$$E(u_0)\le E(\varphi).$$

Therefore, we have that both $\|u(t)\|^2_{L^2(\Gamma)}$ and $E(u(t))$ are constant on $\mathbb{R}$. Finally, the continuity of the solution $u\in C(\mathbb{R},W(\Gamma))\cap C^1(\mathbb{R},W'(\Gamma))$ in time t follow form the arguments identical to the case of the logarithmic NLS equation on $\mathbb{R}^N$ (see proof of Step 4 of [15, Theorem 9.3.4]). □

4. Stationary Problem

The aim of this section is to prove Theorem 1.2. Some preparation is needed.

By elliptic regularity, the solutions of the stationary problem (1.4) are in fact smooth on each edge, except at the vertex, where they satisfy the boundary condition.

Lemma 4.1. *Let $\gamma\in\mathbb{R}\setminus\{0\}$, $\omega\in\mathbb{R}$ and $u\in W(\Gamma)$ be a solution of (1.4). Then, for $l=1,\ldots,N$, the restriction $u_l:\mathbb{R}^+\to\mathbb{C}$ of u to the l-th edge verifies the following:*

$$u_l\in C^2(\mathbb{R}^+), \tag{4.1}$$

$$-\partial_x^2 u_l + \omega u_l - u_l\,\mathrm{Log}\,|u_l|^2 = 0 \quad \text{on} \quad \mathbb{R}^+, \tag{4.2}$$

$$\partial_x u_l(x), u_l(x)\to 0, \quad \text{as} \quad x\to\infty. \tag{4.3}$$

Moreover, u satisfies the jump condition $\partial_x u_1(0)+\ldots+\partial_x u_N(0) = -\gamma u_1(0)$.

Proof. Fix $l\in\{1,\ldots,N\}$. The proof of item (4.1) follow by a standard bootstrap argument using test functions $\xi\in C_0^\infty(\mathbb{R}^+)$ (see e.g. [15, Chapter 8]). Indeed, from (1.4) applied with $\psi=(\psi_i)_{i=1}^N$, where $\psi_l=\xi$ and $\psi_i=0$ for $i\neq l$, we deduce that

$$-\partial_x^2(\xi u_l) + \omega\xi\, u_l = -\partial_x^2\xi\, u_l - 2\partial_x\xi\,\partial_x u_l + \xi\, u_l\,\mathrm{Log}\,|u_l|^2, \tag{4.4}$$

in the sense of distributions on $\mathbb{R}^+$. The right hand side is in $L^2(\mathbb{R}^+)$ and so $\xi u_l\in H^2(\mathbb{R}^+)$. This implies that u_l is in $C^2(\mathbb{R}^+)\cap H^2_{\mathrm{loc}}(\mathbb{R}^+)$ and is a classical solution of this equation on $\mathbb{R}^+$, from which (4.1) and (4.2) follows. Moreover, since $u_l\in H^1(\mathbb{R}^+)$, it follows that $u_l(x)\to 0$ as $x\to\infty$. Thus, by (4.2), $\partial_x^2 u_l(x)\to 0$ as

$x \to \infty$, and so $\partial_x u_l(x) \to 0$ as $x \to \infty$. Finally, we consider any test continuous function on Γ, $\varphi = (\varphi_i)_{i=1}^N$ with $\varphi_i(0) = 1$. From (1.4), we see that

$$\mathfrak{F}_\gamma[u, \varphi] + \sum_{i=1}^{N} \left\{ \omega \int_{\mathbb{R}^+} u_i \varphi_i dx - \int_{\mathbb{R}^+} u_i \operatorname{Log} |u_i|^2 \varphi_i dx \right\} = 0. \tag{4.5}$$

Thus, starting form (4.5) and using (4.2) after an integration by parts gives the jump condition at the vertex, which concludes the proof. □

Lemma 4.2. *Let $u \in W(\mathbb{R}^+) \cap C^2(\mathbb{R}^+)$ be a non-trivial classical solution of*

$$-\partial_x^2 u + \omega u - u \operatorname{Log} |u|^2 = 0, \quad \text{on} \quad \mathbb{R}^+. \tag{4.6}$$

Then there exist $\theta \in \mathbb{R}$ and $c \in \mathbb{R}$ such that

$$u(x) = e^{i\theta} e^{\frac{\omega+1}{2}} e^{-\frac{1}{2}(x+c)^2}, \quad \text{for all } x \in \mathbb{R}^+. \tag{4.7}$$

Proof. We may write $u(x) = e^{i\theta(x)}\rho(x)$, where θ, $\rho \in C^2(\mathbb{R}^+)$ and $\rho \geq 0$. Multiplying the equation (4.6) by $\partial_x \overline{u}$, we obtain

$$|\partial_x u(x)|^2 - (\omega + 1)|u(x)|^2 + |u(x)|^2 \operatorname{Log} |u(x)|^2 \equiv K, \tag{4.8}$$

where $K \in \mathbb{R}$. Since $u \in H^1(\mathbb{R}^+)$, it follows that $u(x) \to 0$ as $x \to \infty$. Thus, by (4.6), $\partial_x^2 u(x) \to 0$ as $x \to \infty$, and so $\partial_x u(x) \to 0$ as $x \to \infty$. Then, letting $x \to \infty$ in (4.8), we see that $K = 0$, so

$$|\partial_x u(x)|^2 - (\omega + 1)|u(x)|^2 + |u(x)|^2 \operatorname{Log} |u(x)|^2 \equiv 0. \tag{4.9}$$

Next, writing of the system of equations satisfied by θ and ρ we have in particular that $\rho\, \partial_x^2 \theta + 2\partial_x \rho\, \partial_x \theta \equiv 0$. Which implies that there exist $\hat{K} \in \mathbb{R}$ such that $\rho^2 \partial_x \theta \equiv \hat{K}$. On the other hand, by (4.9) we have that $|\partial_x u|$ is bounded, it follows that $\rho^2 (\partial_x \theta)^2$ is bounded. Since $\rho(x) \to 0$ as $x \to \infty$, we must have $\hat{K} \equiv 0$. Therefore, $\partial_x \theta \equiv 0$ and $u(x) = e^{i\theta}\rho(x)$, where $\theta \in \mathbb{R}$ and $\rho \geq 0$ satisfies

$$-\partial_x^2 \rho + \omega \rho - \rho \operatorname{Log} |\rho|^2 = 0, \quad \text{on} \quad \mathbb{R}^+. \tag{4.10}$$

We remark that if we take $\beta(s) = \omega s - s \operatorname{Log} s^2$, since $\beta \in C[0, +\infty)$, is nondecreasing for s small, $\beta(0) = 0$ and $\beta(\sqrt{e^\omega}) = 0$, by [27, Theorem 1] we have that each solution $\rho \geq 0$ is either trivial or strictly positive. Since $u \neq 0$, we infer that $\rho > 0$ on $\mathbb{R}^+$. Finally, the equation (4.10) may be integrated using standard arguments. Indeed, by explicit integration there exist $c \in \mathbb{R}$ such that for $x > 0$ (see [21]),

$$\rho(x) = e^{\frac{\omega+1}{2}} e^{-\frac{1}{2}(x+c)^2},$$

which completes of proof. □

Proof of Theorem 1.2. Let φ be a solution to (1.4). From Lemma 4.1 and from characterization given by Lemma 4.2, the restriction $\varphi_i : \mathbb{R}^+ \to \mathbb{C}$ of the stationary state φ must satisfy

$$\varphi_i(x) = e^{i\theta_i} e^{\frac{\omega+1}{2}} e^{-\frac{1}{2}(x+c_i)^2}, \tag{4.11}$$

where θ_i, $c_i \in \mathbb{R}$. By continuity at the vertex we have that $e^{i\theta_1} = \ldots = e^{i\theta_N}$ and $c_i = \epsilon_i h$ with $h > 0$ and $\epsilon_i = \pm 1$. Moreover, by the jump condition we see that

$$h \sum_{i=1}^{N} \epsilon_i = \gamma.$$

Now we determine ϵ_i and h. As in [4, 5], due to the bell shape of the function φ_i, we say that a stationary state φ has a "bump"(resp. "tail") on the edge i if $\epsilon_i = -1$ (resp. $\epsilon_i = 1$). Notice that $\sum_{i=1}^{N} \epsilon_i$ must have the same sign of γ. In particular, a stationary state must have more tails than bumps. We choose to index the stationary states by the number κ of bumps. Therefore, we see that the functions

$$(\varphi^{\kappa})_i(x) = \begin{cases} e^{i\theta} e^{\frac{\omega+1}{2}} e^{-\frac{1}{2}(x-h_\kappa)^2}, & i = 1, \ldots, \kappa \\ e^{i\theta} e^{\frac{\omega+1}{2}} e^{-\frac{1}{2}(x+h_\kappa)^2}, & i = \kappa+1, \ldots, N; \end{cases} \tag{4.12}$$

where $\theta \in \mathbb{R}$, $\kappa = 0, \ldots, [(N-1)/2]$ and $h_\kappa = \gamma/(N-2\kappa)$ are the only candidates to be solution to (1.4). Conversely we can verify directly that this is indeed the case. This conclude the proof of Theorem 1.2. □

5. Minimization problem for the Kirchhoff case

The aim of this section is to prove that the infimum of the action functional for the Kirchhoff case $S_{\omega,0}$ restrict to the Nehari manifold is given by $d_0(\omega) = 2d_{\mathbb{R}^+}(\omega)$ (see (2.8)). The knowledge of $d_0(\omega)$ will be a key ingredient in the next section in the proof of the existence of the ground states for $\gamma > 0$.

Proposition 5.1. *Let $\omega \in \mathbb{R}$. Then $d_0(\omega) = 2d_{\mathbb{R}^+}(\omega)$.*

Before proceeding to the proof of Proposition 5.1, we establish the following lemma.

Lemma 5.2. *Let $\omega \in \mathbb{R}$. Then there exist a sequence of functions $\{\varphi_n\}_{n\in\mathbb{N}} \subseteq W(\mathbb{R}^+)$ with $\varphi_n(0) = 0$ such that*

$$\lim_{n\to+\infty} \|\varphi_n\|^2_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^+)} = 4d_{\mathbb{R}^+}(\omega) \quad \textit{and} \quad \lim_{n\to+\infty} I_{\mathbb{R}^+}(\varphi_n, \omega) = 0.$$

Proof. Let $n \in \mathbb{N}$. We consider the sequence of functions

$$\varphi_n(x) = \operatorname{erf}(x)\phi_\omega(x-n) \quad \text{for all } x \in \mathbb{R}^+; \tag{5.1}$$

where the functions erf and ϕ_ω are defined by (1.7) and (2.6) respectively. It is clear that $\varphi_n \in W(\mathbb{R}^+)$ with $\varphi_n(0) = 0$ for every $n \in \mathbb{N}$. Set $\hat{\psi}_n(x) = \phi_\omega(x-n)$ for all $x > 0$. We claim that $\varphi_n \to \hat{\psi}_n$ strongly in $W(\mathbb{R}^+)$ as $n \to +\infty$. Indeed, by elementary computations we see that

$$\|\varphi_n - \hat{\psi}_n\|^2_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^+)} \le \sqrt{2\pi} e^{\frac{\omega+1}{2}} e^{-\frac{n^2}{2}} \quad \text{and} \quad \|\partial_x\varphi_n - \partial_x\hat{\psi}_n\|^2_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^+)} \le 4(n^2+1)e^{\frac{\omega+1}{2}} e^{-\frac{n^2}{2}},$$

which implies that $\varphi_n \to \hat{\psi}_n$ strongly in $H^1(\mathbb{R}^+)$ as $n \to +\infty$. On the other hand, we remark that $|\varphi_n(x) - \hat{\psi}_n(x)| \le e^{\frac{\omega+1}{2}} e^{-n}$ for every $x \in \mathbb{R}^+$ and $n \ge 3$. Thus, by the definition of $A(s)$ given in (2.1), we have that for sufficiently large n,

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{\mathbb{R}^+} A(|\varphi_n - \hat{\psi}_n|)dx &= -\int_{\mathbb{R}^+} |\varphi_n - \hat{\psi}_n|^2 \operatorname{Log}|\varphi_n - \hat{\psi}_n|^2 dx \\ &\le \int_{\mathbb{R}^+} |\varphi_n - \hat{\psi}_n| dx + \int_{\mathbb{R}^+} |\varphi_n - \hat{\psi}_n|^2 dx \le 3e^{-\frac{n^2}{3}}, \end{aligned}$$

which immediately induces, by (2.5), that $\varphi_n \to \hat{\psi}_n$ strongly in $L^A(\mathbb{R}^+)$ as $n \to +\infty$. In particular, $\|\varphi_n - \hat{\psi}_n\|^2_{W(\mathbb{R}^+)} \to 0$. To conclude, we remark that by continuity

$$\lim_{n\to+\infty} \|\varphi_n\|^2_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^+)} = \lim_{n\to+\infty} \|\hat{\psi}_n\|^2_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^+)} = e^{\omega+1}\sqrt{\pi} \lim_{n\to+\infty} \frac{\operatorname{erf}(n)+1}{2} = e^{\omega+1}\sqrt{\pi}$$
$$\lim_{n\to+\infty} I_{\mathbb{R}^+}(\varphi_n, \omega) = \lim_{n\to+\infty} I_{\mathbb{R}^+}(\hat{\psi}_n, \omega) = -e^{\omega+1} \lim_{n\to+\infty} ne^{-n^2} = 0,$$

and the lemma is proved. □

Proof of Proposition 5.1. We use the argument in [5, Theorem 3]. First, we claim that $d_0(\omega) \geq 2d_{\mathbb{R}^+}(\omega)$. Indeed, let $u \in W(\Gamma)$ such that $I_{\omega,\gamma}(u) = 0$ and let u^* be its symmetric rearrangement. Then, using Proposition 2.3 we see that u^* is positive, symmetric and $u^* \in W(\Gamma)$. Moreover, by property (ii) of Proposition 2.3 we have that

$$Q_{\omega,\gamma}(u^*) := \frac{4}{N^2}\|\partial_x u^*\|^2_{L^2(\Gamma)} + \omega\|u^*\|^2_{L^2(\Gamma)} - \int_\Gamma |u^*|^2 \operatorname{Log}|u^*|^2\, dx \leq I_{\omega,\gamma}(u) = 0,$$

which combined with $2S_{\omega,\gamma}(u) = I_{\omega,\gamma}(u) + \|u\|^2_{L^2(\Gamma)}$ and the properties of u^* implies that

$$\begin{aligned} d_0(\omega) &= \frac{1}{2}\inf\big\{\|u\|^2_{L^2(\Gamma)} : u \in W(\Gamma)\setminus\{0\}, I_{\omega,\gamma}(u) = 0\big\} \\ &\geq \frac{1}{2}\inf\big\{\|u\|^2_{L^2(\Gamma)} : u \in W(\Gamma)\setminus\{0\}, \, u \text{ symmetric}, \, Q_{\omega,\gamma}(u) \leq 0\big\}. \end{aligned} \tag{5.2}$$

Next we use the scaling $u_\lambda(\cdot) := \lambda^{1/2}u(\lambda\cdot)$ with $\lambda = N/2$. From a simple calculation, we obtain

$$Q_{\omega,\gamma}(u_\lambda) = I_{\omega+\operatorname{Log}(2/N),\gamma}(u). \tag{5.3}$$

Thus, combining (5.2), (5.3) and due to the symmetry of u leads to

$$\begin{aligned} d_0(\omega) &\geq \frac{1}{2}\inf\big\{\|u\|^2_{L^2(\Gamma)} : u \in W(\Gamma)\setminus\{0\}, \, u \text{ symmetric}, \, I_{\omega+\operatorname{Log}(2/N),\gamma}(u) \leq 0\big\} \\ &= \frac{N}{2}\inf\Big\{\|v\|^2_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^+)} : v \in W(\mathbb{R}^+)\setminus\{0\}, I_{\mathbb{R}^+}(v, \omega + \operatorname{Log}(2/N)) \leq 0\Big\} \\ &= N d_{\mathbb{R}^+}(\omega + \operatorname{Log}(2/N)) = 2d_{\mathbb{R}^+}(\omega). \end{aligned}$$

Secondly, we prove that the lower bound $2d_{\mathbb{R}^+}(\omega)$ is optimal by means of a minimizing sequence. Let $n \in \mathbb{N}$. We consider the sequence of functions

$$(u_n)_i(x) = \begin{cases} \varphi_n(x) & \text{if } i = 1; \\ 0 & \text{if } i \neq 1; \end{cases}$$

where the function φ_n is defined by (5.1). Notice that the sequence u_n belongs to $W(\Gamma)$. Moreover, it follows from Lemma 5.2 that

$$\lim_{n\to\infty} I_{\omega,0}(u_n) = \lim_{n\to\infty} I_{\mathbb{R}^+}(\varphi_n, \omega) = 0. \tag{5.4}$$

Define the sequence $v_n(x) = \lambda_n u_n(x)$ with

$$\lambda_n = \exp\left(\frac{I_{\omega,0}(u_n)}{2\|u_n\|^2_{L^2(\Gamma)}}\right),$$

where $\exp(x)$ represent the exponential function. Then, it follows from (5.4) that $\lim_{n\to\infty}\lambda_n = 1$. Moreover, an easy calculation shows that $I_{\omega,0}(v_n) = 0$ for any $n \in \mathbb{N}$. Thus, by the definition of $d_0(\omega)$ and Lemma 5.2 leads to

$$d_0(\omega) \leq \lim_{n\to\infty} S_{\omega,0}(\lambda_n u_n) = \frac{1}{2}\lim_{n\to\infty} \lambda_n^2 \|u_n\|^2_{L^2(\Gamma)} = 2d_{\mathbb{R}^+}(\omega),$$

and the proposition is proved. □

6. Existence and identification of the ground state

Before giving the proof of Theorem 1.4, we need to establish some preliminaries. Firstly we extend the one-dimensional logarithmic Sobolev inequality to star graphs.

Lemma 6.1. *Let u be any function in $H^1(\Gamma)$ and α be any positive number. Then*

$$\int_\Gamma |u|^2 \operatorname{Log}|u|^2\, dx \leq \frac{\alpha^2}{\pi}\|\partial_x u\|^2_{L^2(\Gamma)} + \left(\operatorname{Log}\left(2\|u\|^2_{L^2(\Gamma)}\right) - (1+\operatorname{Log}\alpha)\right)\|u\|^2_{L^2(\Gamma)}. \tag{6.1}$$

Proof. The proof of (6.1) follows immediately from the standard logarithmic Sobolev inequality on $H^1(\mathbb{R})$ (see [24, Theorem 8.14]), considering that any function in $H^1(\mathbb{R}^+)$ can be extended to an even function in $H^1(\mathbb{R})$, and applying this reasoning to each component of u. We omit the details. □

Lemma 6.2. *Let $\gamma > 0$ and $\omega \in \mathbb{R}$. Then, the quantity $d_\gamma(\omega)$ is positive and satisfies*

$$d_\gamma(\omega) \geq \frac{1}{4}\sqrt{\frac{\pi}{2}} e^{\omega+1} e^{-2\frac{\gamma^2}{N^2}}. \tag{6.2}$$

Proof. Notice that if $f \in H^1(\mathbb{R}^+)$, then by Hölder and Sobolev inequalities we have that

$$|f(0)|^2 \leq \epsilon\|f\|^2_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^+)} + \epsilon^{-1}\|\partial_x f\|^2_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^+)}. \tag{6.3}$$

Now, let $u \in W(\Gamma)\setminus\{0\}$ be such that $I_{\omega,\gamma}(u) = 0$. From (6.3) with $\epsilon = 2\gamma/N$ we see that

$$\begin{aligned}\gamma\,|u_1(0)|^2 \leq& \frac{\gamma}{N}\sum_{i=1}^N \left\{\epsilon\|u_i\|^2_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^+)} + \epsilon^{-1}\|\partial_x u_i\|^2_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^+)}\right\}\\ =& \frac{2\gamma^2}{N^2}\|u\|^2_{L^2(\Gamma)} + \frac{1}{2}\|\partial_x u\|^2_{L^2(\Gamma)},\end{aligned} \tag{6.4}$$

which combined with $I_{\omega,\gamma}(u) = 0$ and the logarithmic Sobolev inequality (6.1) with $\alpha = \sqrt{\frac{\pi}{2}}$ gives

$$\left(\omega + 1 + \operatorname{Log}\left(\sqrt{\frac{\pi}{2}}\right) - \frac{2\gamma^2}{N^2}\right)\|u\|^2_{L^2(\Gamma)} \leq \left(\operatorname{Log}\left(2\,\|u\|^2_{L^2(\Gamma)}\right)\right)\|u\|^2_{L^2(\Gamma)}.$$

Thus, $\|u\|^2_{L^2(\Gamma)} \geq \frac{1}{2}\sqrt{\frac{\pi}{2}} e^{\omega+1} e^{-\frac{2\gamma^2}{N^2}}$. Finally, by the definition of $d_\gamma(\omega)$ given in (1.6), we get (6.2). □

Lemma 6.3. *Let $N \geq 2$, $\omega \in \mathbb{R}$ and $\gamma^*(N) := N\left(\operatorname{erf}^{-1}(1-2/N)\right)$. If $\gamma > \gamma^*(N)$, then the following inequality holds:*

$$d_\gamma(\omega) < d_0(\omega). \tag{6.5}$$

Proof. We consider the symmetric function $\phi^0_{\omega,\gamma}$ defined by (1.5). Then, it is clear that $\phi^0_{\omega,\gamma} \in W(\Gamma)$ and $I_{\omega,\gamma}(\phi^0_{\omega,\gamma}) = 0$. Moreover, by elementary computations we see that

$$S_{\omega,\gamma}(\phi^0_{\omega,\gamma}) = \frac{N}{4}\sqrt{\pi}e^{\omega+1}\left(1 - \operatorname{erf}\left(\frac{\gamma}{N}\right)\right) = \frac{N}{2}\left(1 - \operatorname{erf}\left(\frac{\gamma}{N}\right)\right) d_0(\omega). \tag{6.6}$$

Since $\gamma > \gamma^*(N)$, this implies

$$\frac{N}{2}\left(1 - \operatorname{erf}\left(\frac{\gamma}{N}\right)\right) < 1,$$

which combined with (6.6) and by the definition of $d_\gamma(\omega)$ gives

$$d_\gamma(\omega) \leq S_{\omega,\gamma}(\phi^0_{\omega,\gamma}) < d_0(\omega),$$

and the lemma is proved. □

The proof of the following lemma can be found in [9, Lemma 4.10].

Lemma 6.4. *Let $\{u_n\}$ be a bounded sequence in $W(\mathbb{R}^+)$ such that $u_n \to u$ a.e. in $\mathbb{R}^+$. Then $u \in W(\mathbb{R}^+)$ and*

$$\lim_{n\to\infty}\int_{\mathbb{R}^+}\left\{|u_n|^2\operatorname{Log}|u_n|^2\,dx - |u_n-u|^2\operatorname{Log}|u_n-u|^2\right\}dx = \int_{\mathbb{R}^+}|u|^2\operatorname{Log}|u|^2\,dx.$$

Proof of Theorem 1.4. First, every minimizing sequence of (1.6) is bounded in $W(\Gamma)$. Let $\{u_n\}$ be a minimizing sequence. We remark that the sequence $\{u_n\}$ is bounded in $L^2(\Gamma)$. Now, by (6.4), the logarithmic Sobolev inequality (6.1) and recalling that $I_{\omega,\gamma}(u_n) = 0$, we see for $\alpha > 0$,

$$\left(\frac{1}{2} - \frac{\alpha^2}{\pi}\right)\|\partial_x u_n\|^2_{L^2(\Gamma)} \leq \left(\operatorname{Log}\left(\frac{e^{\frac{2\gamma^2}{N^2}}e^{-(\omega+1)}}{\alpha}\right)\right)\|u_n\|^2_{L^2(\Gamma)} + \operatorname{Log}\left(2\,\|u_n\|^2_{L^2(\Gamma)}\right)\|u_n\|^2_{L^2(\Gamma)}.$$

Taking $\alpha > 0$ sufficiently small, we have that $\{u_n\}$ is bounded in $H^1(\Gamma)$. Moreover, it follows from $I_{\omega,\gamma}(u_n) = 0$, (6.4) and (2.4) that

$$\frac{1}{2}\|\partial_x u_n\|^2_{L^2(\Gamma)} + \int_\Gamma A(|u_n|)\,dx \leq C,$$

which implies, by (2.5), that the sequence $\{u_n\}$ is bounded in $W(\Gamma)$. In addition, since $W(\Gamma)$ is a reflexive Banach space, there exist $\varphi \in W(\Gamma)$ such that, up to a subsequence, $u_n \rightharpoonup \varphi$ weakly in $W(\Gamma)$. Moreover, as it was observed in the proof of [5, Theorem 1], by weak convergence we have $u_n(0) \to \varphi(0)$.

Now we show that φ is nontrivial. Suppose that $\varphi \equiv 0$. Since u_n satisfies $I_{\omega,\gamma}(u_n) = 0$, we obtain

$$\lim_{n\to\infty} I_{\omega,0}(u_n) = \gamma \lim_{n\to\infty}|u_{1,n}(0)|^2 = 0. \tag{6.7}$$

Define the sequence $v_n = \lambda_n u_n$ with

$$\lambda_n = \exp\left(\frac{I_{\omega,0}(u_n)}{2\|u_n\|^2_{L^2(\Gamma)}}\right),$$

where $\exp(x)$ represents the exponential function. Then, it follows from (6.7) that $\lim_{n\to\infty}\lambda_n = 1$. Moreover, an easy calculation shows that $I_{\omega,0}(v_n) = 0$ for any $n \in \mathbb{N}$. Thus, by the definition of $d_\gamma(\omega)$, we see that

$$d_0(\omega) \leq \lim_{n\to\infty} S_{\omega,0}(v_n) = \frac{1}{2}\lim_{n\to\infty}\left\{\lambda_n^2\|u_n\|^2_{L^2(\Gamma)}\right\} = d_\gamma(\omega),$$

that it is contrary to (6.5) and therefore we conclude that φ is nontrivial.

Secondly, we prove that $I_{\omega,\gamma}(\varphi)=0$ and $\varphi\in\mathcal{G}_{\omega,\gamma}$. If we suppose that $I_{\omega,\gamma}(\varphi)<0$, by elementary computations we find that there is $\lambda\in(0,1)$ such that $I_{\omega,\gamma}(\lambda\varphi)=0$. Then, from the definition of $d_\gamma(\omega)$ and the weak lower semicontinuity of the $L^2(\Gamma)$-norm, we have

$$d_\gamma(\omega)\leq\frac{1}{2}\left\|\lambda\varphi\right\|^2_{L^2(\Gamma)}<\frac{1}{2}\left\|\varphi\right\|^2_{L^2(\Gamma)}\leq\frac{1}{2}\liminf_{n\to\infty}\left\|u_n\right\|^2_{L^2(\Gamma)}=d_\gamma(\omega),$$

it which is impossible. On the other hand, assume that $I_{\omega,\gamma}(\varphi)>0$. Since the embedding $W(\Gamma)\hookrightarrow H^1(\Gamma)$ is continuous, we see that $u_n\rightharpoonup\varphi$ weakly in $H^1(\Gamma)$. Thus, we have

$$\left\|u_n\right\|^2_{L^2(\Gamma)}-\left\|u_n-\varphi\right\|^2_{L^2(\Gamma)}-\left\|\varphi\right\|^2_{L^2(\Gamma)}\to0 \tag{6.8}$$

$$\left\|\partial_x u_n\right\|^2_{L^2(\Gamma)}-\left\|\partial_x u_n-\partial_x\varphi\right\|^2_{L^2(\Gamma)}-\left\|\partial_x\varphi\right\|^2_{L^2(\Gamma)}\to0, \tag{6.9}$$

as $n\to\infty$. Combining (6.8), (6.9) and Lemma 6.4 leads to

$$\lim_{n\to\infty}I_{\omega,\gamma}(u_n-\varphi)=\lim_{n\to\infty}I_{\omega,\gamma}(u_n)-I_{\omega,\gamma}(\varphi)=-I_{\omega,\gamma}(\varphi),$$

which combined with $I_{\omega,\gamma}(\varphi)>0$ give us that $I_{\omega,\gamma}(u_n-\varphi)<0$ for sufficiently large n. Thus, by (6.8) and applying the same argument as above, we see that

$$d_\gamma(\omega)\leq\frac{1}{2}\lim_{n\to\infty}\left\|u_n-\varphi\right\|^2_{L^2(\Gamma)}=d_\gamma(\omega)-\frac{1}{2}\left\|\varphi\right\|^2_{L^2(\Gamma)},$$

which is a contradiction because $\left\|\varphi\right\|^2_{L^2(\Gamma)}>0$. Therefore, we deduce that $I_{\omega,\gamma}(\varphi)=0$. To conclude, by the weak lower semicontinuity of the $L^2(\Gamma)$-norm, we have

$$d_\gamma(\omega)\leq\frac{1}{2}\left\|\varphi\right\|^2_{L^2(\Gamma)}\leq\frac{1}{2}\liminf_{n\to\infty}\left\|u_n\right\|^2_{L^2(\Gamma)}=d_\gamma(\omega), \tag{6.10}$$

which implies, by the definition of $d_\gamma(\omega)$, that $\varphi\in\mathcal{G}_{\omega,\gamma}$.

Finally, we prove that $\phi^0_{\omega,\gamma}$ is the ground state. By Remark 1.3 and Theorem 1.2, it is sufficient to verify that

$$S_{\omega,\gamma}(\phi^\kappa_{\omega,\gamma})<S_{\omega,\gamma}(\phi^{\kappa+1}_{\omega,\gamma})\quad\text{for } 0\leq\kappa\leq[(N-1)/2]-1. \tag{6.11}$$

For $\gamma>0$ and $x\in\mathbb{R}^+$ we set $f_\gamma(x)=x(\mathrm{erf}(\gamma/x))$, where the function erf is defined by (1.7). By elementary computations we have that

$$S_{\omega,\gamma}(\phi^\kappa_{\omega,\gamma})=\frac{\sqrt{\pi}}{4}e^{\omega+1}\left(N-f_\gamma(N-2\kappa)\right). \tag{6.12}$$

We claim that f_γ is strictly increasing on $\mathbb{R}^+$. Indeed, it is clear that $f_\gamma\in C^2(\mathbb{R}^+)$, $f_\gamma(0)=0$ and $f_\gamma(x)\to2\gamma/\sqrt{\pi}$ as $x\to\infty$. Moreover, we have that $f''_\gamma(x)<0$ for all $x\in\mathbb{R}^+$, which combined with

$$\lim_{x\to0^+}f'_\gamma(x)=\gamma,\qquad\lim_{x\to\infty}f'_\gamma(x)=0$$

implies that $f'_\gamma(x)>0$ for all $x\in\mathbb{R}^+$. In particular,

$$f_\gamma(N-2(\kappa+1))<f_\gamma(N-2\kappa). \tag{6.13}$$

Combining (6.12) and (6.13) we get (6.11). This completes the proof of theorem. □

7. Stability of the ground states

This section is devoted to the proof of Theorem 1.6. We first prove compactness of the minimizing sequences.

Lemma 7.1. *Let $N \geq 2$, $\omega \in \mathbb{R}$ and $\gamma > \gamma^*(N) = N\left(\text{erf}^{-1}(1-2/N)\right)$. Let $\{u_n\} \subseteq W(\Gamma)$ be a minimizing sequence for $d_\gamma(\omega)$. Then, up to a subsequence, there is $\theta \in \mathbb{R}$ such that $u_n \to e^{i\theta}\phi^0_{\omega,\gamma}$ in $W(\Gamma)$.*

Proof. We see by the proof of Theorem 1.4 that there is $\varphi \in \mathcal{G}_{\omega,\gamma}$ such that, up to a subsequence, $u_n \rightharpoonup \varphi$ weakly in $W(\Gamma)$ and $u_{i,n}(x) \to \varphi_i(x)$ *a.e.* in $\mathbb{R}^+$ for $i = 1, \ldots, N$. Furthermore, by (6.8) and (6.10) we have $u_n \to \varphi$ in $L^2(\Gamma)$. Then, since the sequence $\{u_n\}$ is bounded in $H^1(\Gamma)$, from (2.4) we obtain

$$\lim_{n\to\infty} \int_\Gamma B\left(|u_n|\right) dx = \int_\Gamma B\left(|\varphi|\right) dx.$$

Thus, since $I_{\omega,\gamma}(u_n) = I_{\omega,\gamma}(\varphi) = 0$ for any $n \in \mathbb{N}$, we obtain

$$\lim_{n\to\infty} \left\{ \|\partial_x u_n\|^2_{L^2(\Gamma)} + \int_\Gamma A\left(|u_n|\right) dx \right\} = \left\{ \|\partial_x \varphi\|^2_{L^2(\Gamma)} + \int_\Gamma A\left(|\varphi|\right) dx \right\}. \tag{7.1}$$

Moreover, by weak lower semi-continuity of the L^2-norm and Fatou lemma, we deduce

$$\|\partial_x \varphi_i\|^2_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^+)} \leq \lim_{n\to\infty} \|\partial_x u_{i,n}\|^2_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^+)}, \tag{7.2}$$

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^+} A\left(|\varphi_i(x)|\right) dx \leq \lim_{n\to\infty} \int_{\mathbb{R}^+} A\left(|u_{i,n}(x)|\right) dx, \tag{7.3}$$

for every $i = 1, \ldots, N$. Therefore, by (7.1), (7.2) and (7.3) we infer that (see, for example, [20, Lemma 12 in chapter V])

$$\lim_{n\to\infty} \|\partial_x u_{i,n}\|^2_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^+)} = \|\partial_x \varphi_i\|^2_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^+)}, \tag{7.4}$$

$$\lim_{n\to\infty} \int_{\mathbb{R}^+} A\left(|u_{i,n}(x)|\right) dx = \int_{\mathbb{R}^+} A\left(|\varphi_i(x)|\right) dx. \tag{7.5}$$

Since $u_n \rightharpoonup \varphi$ weakly in $H^1(\Gamma)$, it follows from (7.4) that $u_{i,n} \to \varphi_i$ in $H^1(\mathbb{R}^+)$. Furthermore, by Proposition 2.2-ii) and (7.5) we have $u_{i,n} \to \varphi_i$ in $L^A(\mathbb{R}^+)$. Thus, by definition of the $W(\Gamma)$-norm, we infer that $u_n \to \varphi$ in $W(\Gamma)$ and the result follows from Theorem 1.4. □

Proof of Theorem 1.6. We argue by contradiction. Suppose that $\phi^0_{\omega,\gamma}$ is not stable in $W(\Gamma)$. Then there exist $\epsilon > 0$, a sequence $(u_{n,0})_{n\in\mathbb{N}}$ such that

$$\left\|u_{n,0} - \phi^0_{\omega,\gamma}\right\|_{W(\Gamma)} < \frac{1}{n}, \tag{7.6}$$

and a sequence $(\tau_n)_{n\in\mathbb{N}}$ such that

$$\inf_{\theta\in\mathbb{R}} \|u_n(\tau_n) - e^{i\theta}\phi^0_{\omega,\gamma}\|_{W(\Gamma)} = \frac{\epsilon}{2}, \tag{7.7}$$

where u_n denotes the solution of the Cauchy problem (1.1) with initial data $u_{n,0}$. Set $v_n = u_n(t_n)$. By (7.6) and conservation laws, as $n \to \infty$,

$$\|v_n\|^2_{L^2(\Gamma)} = \|u_n(t_n)\|^2_{L^2(\Gamma)} = \|u_{n,0}\|^2_{L^2(\Gamma)} \to \left\|\phi^0_{\omega,\gamma}\right\|^2_{L^2(\Gamma)} \tag{7.8}$$

$$E(v_n) = E(u_n(t_n)) = E(u_{n,0}) \to E(\phi^0_{\omega,\gamma}). \tag{7.9}$$

In particular, it follows from (7.8) and (7.9) that, as $n \to \infty$,

$$S_{\omega,\gamma}(v_n) \to S_{\omega,\gamma}(\phi^0_{\omega,\gamma}) = d_\gamma(\omega). \tag{7.10}$$

Moreover, combining (7.8) and (7.10) leads to $I_{\omega,\gamma}(v_n) \to 0$ as $n \to \infty$. Define the sequence $f_n = \rho_n v_n$ with

$$\rho_n = \exp\left(\frac{I_{\omega,\gamma}(v_n)}{2\|v_n\|^2_{L^2(\Gamma)}}\right),$$

where $\exp(x)$ is the exponential function. It is clear that $\lim_{n\to\infty} \rho_n = 1$ and $I_{\omega,\gamma}(f_n) = 0$ for any $n \in \mathbb{N}$. Furthermore, since the sequence $\{v_n\}$ is bounded in $W(\Gamma)$, we get $\|v_n - f_n\|_{W(\Gamma)} \to 0$ as $n \to \infty$. Then, by (7.10), we have that $\{f_n\}$ is a minimizing sequence for $d_\gamma(\omega)$. Thus, by Lemma 7.1, up to a subsequence, there is $\theta_0 \in \mathbb{R}$ such that $f_n \to e^{i\theta_0}\phi^0_{\omega,\gamma}$ in $W(\Gamma)$. Therefore, by using the triangular inequality, we have

$$\|u_n(t_n) - e^{i\theta_0}\phi^0_{\omega,\gamma}\|_{W(\Gamma)} \le \|v_n - f_n\|_{W(\Gamma)} + \|f_n - e^{i\theta_0}\phi^0_{\omega,\gamma}\|_{W(\Gamma)} \to 0,$$

as $n \to \infty$, it which is a contradiction with (7.7). This finishes the proof. □

8. Appendix

The purpose of this Appendix is to show that the energy functional E is of class C^1 on $W(\Gamma)$.

Proposition 8.1. *The operator $E : W(\Gamma) \to \mathbb{R}$ is of class C^1 and for $u \in W(\Gamma)$ the Fréchet derivative of E in u exists and it is given by*

$$E'(u) = -\Delta_\gamma u - u \operatorname{Log}|u|^2 - u.$$

The proof of Proposition 8.1 relies on the following result.

Lemma 8.2. *The operator $L : u \to -\Delta_\gamma u + u \operatorname{Log}|u|^2$ is continuous from $W(\Gamma)$ to $W'(\Gamma)$. Moreover, the image under L of a bounded subset of $W(\Gamma)$ is a bounded subset of $W'(\Gamma)$.*

Proof. As usual, the operator $-\Delta_\gamma$ is naturally extended to $-\Delta_\gamma : H^1(\Gamma) \to H^{-1}(\Gamma)$ defined by

$$\langle -\Delta_\gamma u, v\rangle = \mathfrak{F}_\gamma[u,v], \quad \text{for} \quad u, v \in H^1(\Gamma).$$

Now, using that $W(\Gamma) \hookrightarrow H^1(\Gamma)$ is a dense embedding, we obtain that $u \to -\Delta_\gamma u$ is continuous from $W(\Gamma)$ to $W'(\Gamma)$. On the other hand, by [14, Lemma 2.3], $u \to u \operatorname{Log}|u|^2$ is continuous and bounded from $W(\mathbb{R}^+)$ to $W'(\mathbb{R}^+)$. This implies that $u \to u \operatorname{Log}|u|^2$ is continuous and bounded from $W(\Gamma)$ to $W'(\Gamma)$, and the lemma is proved. □

Proof of Proposition 8.1. We first show that E is continuous. Notice that

$$E(u) = \frac{1}{2}\mathfrak{F}_\gamma[u] + \frac{1}{2}\int_\Gamma A(|u|)dx - \frac{1}{2}\int_\Gamma B(|u|)dx. \tag{8.1}$$

The first term in the right-hand side of (8.1) is continuous $H^1(\Gamma) \to \mathbb{R}$, and it follows from Proposition 2.2(i) that the second term is continuous $W(\Gamma) \to \mathbb{R}$. Moreover, by (2.4) we get that the third term right-hand side of (8.1) is continuous

$H^1(\Gamma) \to \mathbb{R}$. Therefore, $E \in C(W(\Gamma), \mathbb{R})$. Now, direct calculations show that, for u, $v \in W(\Gamma)$, $t \in (-1, 1)$ (see [14, Proposition 2.7]),

$$\lim_{t\to 0} \frac{E(u+tv) - E(u)}{t} = \left\langle -\Delta_\gamma u + u \operatorname{Log} |u|^2 - u, v \right\rangle$$

Thus, E is Gáteaux differentiable. Then, by Lemma 8.2 we see that E is Fréchet differentiable and $E'(u) = -\Delta_\gamma - u \operatorname{Log} |u|^2 - u$. □

References

[1] R. Adami, C. Cacciapuoti, D. Finco, and D. Noja. Fast solitons on star graphs. *Rev. Math. Phys*, 4(23):409–451, 2011.

[2] R. Adami, C. Cacciapuoti, D. Finco, and D. Noja. On the structure of critical energy levels for the cubic focusing NLS on star graphs. *J. Phys. A: Math. Theor*, 45(192001):7pp, 2012.

[3] R. Adami, C. Cacciapuoti, D. Finco, and D. Noja. Stationary states of NLS on star graphs. *Europhys. Lett.*, 100:1003, 2012.

[4] R. Adami, C. Cacciapuoti, D. Finco, and D. Noja. Constrained energy minimization and orbital stability for the NLS equation on a star graph. *Ann. Inst. H. Poincaré Anal. Non Linéaire*, 31(6):1289–1310, 2014.

[5] R. Adami, C. Cacciapuoti, D. Finco, and D. Noja. Variational properties and orbital stability of standing waves for NLS equation on a star graph. *J. Differential Equations*, 257:3738–3777, 2014.

[6] R. Adami and D. Noja. Existence of dynamics for a 1-d NLS equation perturbed with a generalized point defect. *J. Phys. A Math. Theor.*, 42(49):495302–495320, 2009.

[7] R. Adami and D. Noja. Stability and symmetry-breaking bifurcation for the ground states of a NLS with a δ' interaction. *Comm. Math. Phys.*, 318(1):247–289, 2013.

[8] R. Adami and D. Noja. Exactly solvable models and bifurcations: the case of the cubic NLS with a δ or a δ' interaction in dimension one. *Math. Model. Nat. Phenom.*, 9(5):1–16, 2014.

[9] J. Angulo and A. H. Ardila. Stability of standing waves for logarithmic Schrödinger equation with attractive delta potential. *Indiana Univ. Math. J., to appear*, 2016.

[10] V. Banica and L.I. Ignat. Dispersion for the Schrödinger equation on the line with multiple dirac delta potentials and on delta trees. *Anal. PDE*, 7:903–927, 2014.

[11] B. Bellazi and M. Mintchev. Quantum field theory on star graphs. *Phys. A: Math. Theor.*, 39:1101–1117, 2006.

[12] G. Berkolaiko, C. Carlson, S. Fulling, and P. Kuchment. *Quantum Graphs and Their Applications*, volume 415 of *Contemporary Math.* American Math. Society, Providence, RI, 2006.

[13] I. Bialynicki-Birula and J. Mycielski. Nonlinear wave mechanics. *Ann. Phys*, 100:62–93, 1976.

[14] T. Cazenave. Stable solutions of the logarithmic Schrödinger equation. *Nonlinear. Anal., T.M.A.*, 7:1127–1140, 1983.

[15] T. Cazenave. *Semilinear Schrödinger Equations*. Courant Lecture Notes in Mathematics,10. American Mathematical Society, Courant Institute of Mathematical Sciences, 2003.

[16] T. Cazenave and P.L. Lions. Orbital stability of standing waves for some nonlinear Schrödinger equations. *Comm. Math. Phys.*, 85(4):549–561, 1982.

[17] R. Fukuizumi and L. Jeanjean. Stability of standing waves for a nonlinear Schrödinger equation with a repulsive Dirac delta potential. *Discrete Contin. Dyn. Syst.*, 21:121–136, 2008.

[18] R. Fukuizumi and M. Ohta. Stability of standing waves for nonlinear Schrödinger equations with potentials. *Differential and Integral Equations*, 16(1):111–128, 2003.

[19] R. Fukuizumi, M. Ohta, and T. Ozawa. Nonlinear Schrödinger equation with a point defect. *Ann. Inst. H. Poincaré Anal. Non Linéaire*, 25(5):837–845, 2008.

[20] A. Haraux. *Nonlinear Evolution Equations: Global Behavior of Solutions*, volume 841 of *Lecture Notes in Math.* Springer-Verlag, Heidelberg, 1981.

[21] C. M. Khalique and A. Biswas. Gaussian soliton solution to nonlinear Schrödinger's equation with log law nonlinearity. *International Journal of Physical Sciences*, 5(3):280–282, 2010.

[22] V. Kostrykin and R. Schrader. Kirchhoff's rule for quantum wires. *J. Phys. A: Math. Gen*, 32(4):595–630, 1999.

[23] S. Le Coz, R. Fukuizumi, G. Fibich, B. Ksherim, and Y. Sivan. Instability of bound states of a nonlinear Schrödinger equation with a dirac potential. *Phys. D*, 237:1103–1128, 2008.

[24] E. Lieb and M. Loss. *Analysis*, volume 14 of *Graduate Studies in Mathematics*. American Mathematical Society, Providence, RI, 2 edition, 2001.

[25] F. A. Mehmeti, J. von Below, and S. Nicaise, editors. *Partial Differential equations on multistrucutres*, number 219 in Lecture Notes in Pure and Applied Mathematics. Marcel Dekker, Inc., New York, 2001.

[26] D. Noja. Nonlinear Schrödinger equation on graphs: recent results and open problems. *Philos. Trans. R. Soc. Lond. Ser. A Math. Phys. Eng.Sci*, 372:20130002(20 pages), 2014.

[27] J.L. Vázquez. A strong maximum principle for some quasilinear elliptic equations. *Appl. Math. Optim*, 12:191–202, 1984.

E-mail address: alexha@ime.usp.br